YOLO COUNTY

Audit Report

CHILD ABDUCTION AND RECOVERY PROGRAM

Chapter 1399, Statutes of 1976; Chapter 162, Statutes of 1992; and Chapter 988, Statutes of 1996

July 1, 2000, through June 30, 2004



STEVE WESTLY
California State Controller

December 2005



STEVE WESTLY California State Controller

December 30, 2005

The Honorable Howard Newens Auditor-Controller Yolo County 625 Court Street, Room 103 Woodland, CA 95695

Dear Mr. Newens:

The State Controller's Office audited the costs claimed by Yolo County for the legislatively mandated Child Abduction and Recovery Program (Chapter 1399, Statutes of 1976; Chapter 162, Statutes of 1992; and Chapter 988, Statutes of 1996) for the period of July 1, 2000, through June 30, 2004.

The county claimed \$1,302,276 (\$1,303,276 less a \$1,000 penalty for filing a late claim) for the mandated program. Our audit disclosed that the entire amount is allowable. The State paid the county \$694,949. The State will pay allowable costs claimed that exceed the amount paid, totaling \$607,329, contingent upon available appropriations.

If you have any questions, please contact Jim L. Spano, Chief, Compliance Audits Bureau, at (916) 323-5849.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:

JEFFREY V. BROWNFIELD Chief, Division of Audits

JVB/ams

cc: James Tilton, Program Budget Manager

Corrections and General Government

Department of Finance

Scott Hannan, Director

School Fiscal Services Division

California Department of Education

Arlene Matsuura, Education Fiscal Services Consultant

School Fiscal Services Division

California Department of Education

Gerry Shelton, Director

Fiscal and Administrative Services Division

California Department of Education

Jeannie Oropeza, Program Budget Manager

Education Systems Unit

Department of Finance

Contents

Audit Report

	Summary	1
	Background	1
	Objective, Scope, and Methodology	2
	Conclusion	2
	Views of Responsible Officials	2
	Restricted Use	3
So	chedule 1—Summary of Program Costs	4

Audit Report

Summary

The State Controller's Office (SCO) audited the costs claimed by Yolo County for the legislatively mandated Child Abduction and Recovery Program (Chapter 1399, Statutes of 1976; Chapter 162, Statutes of 1992; and Chapter 988, Statutes of 1996) for the period of July 1, 2000, through June 30, 2004. The last day of fieldwork was May 26, 2005.

The county claimed \$1,302,276 (\$1,303,276 less a \$1,000 penalty for filing a late claim) for the mandated program. Our audit disclosed that the entire amount is allowable. The State paid the county \$694,949. The State will pay allowable costs claimed that exceed the amount paid, totaling \$607,329, contingent upon available appropriations.

Background

Chapter 1399, Statutes of 1976 established the mandated Child Abduction and Recovery Program based on the following laws:

- Civil Code Section 4600.1 (repealed and added as Family Code Section 3060–3064 by Chapter 162, Statutes of 1992);
- Penal Code Sections 278 and 278.5 (repealed and added as Penal Code Sections 277, 278, and 278.5 by Chapter 988, Statutes of 1996); and
- Welfare and Institutions Code Section 11478.5 (repealed and added as Family Code Section 17506 by Chapter 478, Statutes of 1999, last amended by Chapter 759, Statutes of 2002).

These laws require the District Attorney's Office to assist persons having legal custody of a child in:

- Locating their children when they are unlawfully taken away;
- Gaining enforcement of custody and visitation decrees and orders to appear;
- Defraying expenses related to the return of an illegally detained, abducted, or concealed child,
- Civil court action proceedings; and
- Guaranteeing the appearance of offenders and minors in court actions.

On September 19, 1979, the State Board of Control (now the Commission on State Mandates [COSM]) determined that this legislation imposed a state mandate reimbursable under Government Code Section 17561.

Parameters and Guidelines establishes the state mandate and defines reimbursement criteria. COSM adopted Parameters and Guidelines on January 21, 1981, and last amended it on August 26, 1999. In compliance with Government Code Section 17558, the SCO issues claiming instructions for mandated programs, to assist local agencies in claiming reimbursable costs.

Objective, Scope, and Methodology

We conducted the audit to determine whether costs claimed represent increased costs resulting from the Child Abduction and Recovery Program for the period of July 1, 2000, through June 30, 2004.

Our audit scope included, but was not limited to, determining whether costs claimed were supported by appropriate source documents, were not funded by another source, and were not unreasonable and/or excessive.

We conducted the audit according to Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and under the authority of Government Code Sections 12410, 17558.5, and 17561. We did not audit the county's financial statements. We limited our audit scope to planning and performing audit procedures necessary to obtain reasonable assurance that costs claimed were allowable for reimbursement. Accordingly, we examined transactions, on a test basis, to determine whether the costs claimed were supported.

We limited our review of the county's internal controls to gaining an understanding of the transaction flow and claim preparation process as necessary to develop appropriate auditing procedures.

Conclusion

For the audit period, Yolo County claimed \$1,302,276 (\$1,303,276 less a \$1,000 penalty for filing a late claim) for costs of the Child Abduction and Recovery Program. Our audit disclosed no material instances of noncompliance with the requirements outlined above.

For fiscal year (FY) 2000-01, the State paid the county \$340,511. Our audit disclosed that \$358,395 is allowable. The State will pay allowable costs claimed that exceed the amount paid, totaling \$17,881, contingent upon available appropriations.

For FY 2002-03, the State made no payment to the county. Our audit disclosed that \$331,288 is allowable; the State will pay this amount contingent upon available appropriations.

For FY 2003-04, the State made no payment to the county. Our audit disclosed that \$258,158 is allowable; the State will pay this amount contingent upon available appropriations.

Views of Responsible **Officials**

We discussed our audit results with the county's representatives during an exit conference conducted on September 1, 2005. David C. Henderson, District Attorney, and Maggie Henderson, Business Manager, agreed with the audit results. Mr. Henderson declined a draft audit report and agreed that we could issue the audit report as final.

Restricted Use

This report is solely for the information and use of Yolo County, the California Department of Finance, and the SCO; it is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Original Signed By:

JEFFREY V. BROWNFIELD Chief, Division of Audits

Schedule 1— **Summary of Program Costs** July 1, 2000, through June 30, 2004

Cost Elements	Actual Costs Claimed	Allowable per Audit
July 1, 2000, through June 30, 2001		
Salaries Benefits Services and supplies Fixed assets Travel and training	\$ 215,463 45,838 64,652 3,217 30,806	\$ 215,463 45,838 64,652 3,217 30,806
Total direct costs Indirect costs	359,976 —	359,976
Subtotal Less offsetting savings/reimbursements	359,976 (1,584)	359,976 (1,584)
Total reimbursable costs Less amount paid by the State	\$ 358,392	358,392 (340,511)
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid		\$ 17,881
July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002		
Salaries Benefits Services and supplies Fixed assets Travel and training	\$ 231,079 47,654 55,523 3,052 18,130	\$ 231,079 47,654 55,523 3,052 18,130
Total direct costs Indirect costs	355,438	355,438
Subtotal Less offsetting savings/reimbursements	355,438 (1,000)	355,438 (1,000)
Total reimbursable costs Less amount paid by the State	\$ 354,438	354,438 (354,438)
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid		<u>\$</u>
July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003 Salaries Benefits Services and supplies Fixed assets	\$ 219,215 52,509 50,000	\$ 219,215 52,509 50,000
Travel and training	11,861	11,861

Schedule 1 (continued)

Cost Elements	Actual Costs Claimed	Allowable per Audit
<u>July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003</u> (continued)		
Total direct costs Indirect costs	333,585	333,585
Subtotal Less offsetting savings/reimbursements	333,585 (2,297)	333,585 (2,297)
Total reimbursable costs Less amount paid by the State	\$ 331,288	331,288
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid		\$ 331,288
July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004		
Salaries Benefits Services and supplies Fixed assets Travel and training	\$ 174,445 46,189 37,463 — 61	\$ 174,445 46,189 37,463 — 61
Total direct costs Indirect costs	258,158 	258,158
Subtotal Less offsetting savings/reimbursements	258,158 —	258,158
Total reimbursable costs Less amount paid by the State	\$ 258,158	258,158
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid		\$ 258,158
Summary: July 1, 2000, through June 30, 2004		
Salaries Benefits Services and supplies Fixed assets Travel and training	\$ 840,202 192,190 207,638 6,269 60,858	\$ 840,202 192,190 207,638 6,269 60,858
Total direct costs Indirect costs	1,307,157	1,307,157
Subtotal Less offsetting savings/reimbursements Less late filing penalty	1,307,157 (3,881) (1,000)	1,307,157 (3,881) (1,000)
Total reimbursable costs Less amount paid by the State	\$ 1,302,276	1,302,276 (694,949)
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid		\$ 607,327

State Controller's Office Division of Audits Post Office Box 942850 Sacramento, California 94250-5874

http://www.sco.ca.gov